

# EXHIBIT C



1 This matter came on for hearing on April 23, 2026 (the “Settlement Hearing”)  
2 on Lead Plaintiff’s motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of  
3 the Net Settlement Fund (“Plan of Allocation”) created by the Settlement achieved  
4 in the above-captioned consolidated class action (the “Action”) should be approved.  
5 The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing  
6 and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially  
7 in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who  
8 or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the  
9 hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall*  
10 *Street Journal* and was transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the  
11 specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the  
12 fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

13 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

14 1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by  
15 reference the definitions in the Stipulation of Settlement dated October 27, 2025  
16 (ECF No. 183-2) (the “Stipulation”) and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined  
17 herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

18 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed  
19 Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the  
20 Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

21 3. Notice of Lead Plaintiff’s motion for approval of the proposed Plan of  
22 Allocation was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified with  
23 reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the  
24 motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of  
25 Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation  
26 Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable  
27 law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and  
28 constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

1           4.     Copies of the Postcard Notice were mailed to over 244,000 potential  
2 Settlement Class Members, which directed Settlement Class Members and nominees  
3 to the Notice posted on the Settlement Website, which included the Plan of  
4 Allocation, and no objections to the proposed plan were submitted.

5           5.     The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the  
6 calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation provided  
7 to Settlement Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to  
8 allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members  
9 with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and  
10 necessity.

11          6.     The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in  
12 all respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class.

13          7.     There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and  
14 immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

15  
16

17           IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE GEORGE H. WU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28